UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,368	08/25/2006	Keit Wagener	72324	3239
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 11/12/200 UTTLE, PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION			WILHELM, TIMOTHY	
	GH, NY 10510-9227		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/598,368	WAGENER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy D. Wilhelm	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-16, and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the content	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/28/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/598,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5,7,10,12-16,18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scholz (US 2003/0020267). Scholz discloses an arrangement for fixing a gas generator to an airbag housing comprising a gas generator; a generator chamber having an inner wall; a spring-elastic fastening element 30; wherein the gas generator is mounted in the generator chamber at one end in a fixed bearing and at another end by the spring-elastic fastener being pressed between an outer wall of the gas generator and the inner wall of the generator chamber, clinging with an outer circumference of said fastening element to said inner wall. The fastening element comprises an inner lug at a middle area thereof that embraces a gradation in the contour of the end of the gas generator. The fastening element is further a stamped metal part made of sheet steel. With regard to claim 10, an outer circumference of the fastening element has a microprofile that is favorable to a clinging of said fastening element to said inner wall is able to cling to said place.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,368 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8,9, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz in view of Hopf et al (6,361,064). Scholz discloses the present invention except for the fastening element being coated with plastic in a region around the inner lug. Hopf et al teaches an inflator seal retainer for an air bag module, said module comprising a gas generator 16 and a generator chamber 44, wherein said generator is connected at one end to the chamber 44 by a fixed bearing and at another end through a seal retainer fastening element 140. Column 9, Lines 19-23 of Hopf et al teach that "the inflator seal retainer 140 is...capable of being coated for corrosion resistance" with plastic materials. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the fastening element of Scholz with the teaching of Hopf et al's plastic coating to give the fastening element better resistance to corrosion.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz in view of Jenkins et al (5,487,556). Scholz et al disclose the present invention except for the fastening element having "microcorners" to help the fastening element grip the inner wall of the generator chamber. Jenkins et al teach an airbag module comprising a gas generator 16 and a generator chamber 40, wherein the generator chamber 40

Art Unit: 3616

comprises a fastening element 20 that fastens said gas generator 14 to said generator housing 40 and includes microcorners 180 that help the fastening element cling better to the gas generator 14 at a circumference therefore. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the fastening element of Scholz with the teaching of Jenkins et al to have microcorners on the outer circumference of said fastening element to give the fastening element a better cling to the generator housing, thus ensuring it stays in place.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Wilhelm whose telephone number is 571-272-6980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Q. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-6952. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3616 Timothy D Wilhelm Examiner Art Unit 3616

/Timothy D Wilhelm/ November 10, 2008